

Hom and tensor product

The localization can also be expressed as a tensor product.

We'll give a brief intro to tensor and Hom. First we give a quick review of exactness:

Let M_0, M_1, \dots, M_n be R -modules, with maps between them:

$$M_0 \xrightarrow{f_1} M_1 \xrightarrow{f_2} \dots \xrightarrow{f_n} M_n.$$

The sequence above is exact at M_i if $\text{im} f_i = \ker f_{i+1}$.

The whole sequence is exact if it is exact at each M_i for $0 < i < n$.

A short exact sequence is an exact sequence of the form

$$0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \rightarrow 0.$$

In this case, $A = \ker(g)$ and $C = \text{coker}(f) \cong B/A$.

Hom

Def: If M, N are R -modules, then $\text{Hom}_R(M, N)$ is the R -module of homomorphisms $M \rightarrow N$.

Ex: $\text{Hom}_R\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n R, N\right) \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n N$

Hom is a functor in each of its entries:

Fix an R -module M .

Then $\text{Hom}(M, -)$ takes a map $A \rightarrow B$ to a map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M \rightarrow A & & \text{Hom}_R(M, A) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M, B). \\ & \searrow & \\ & & B \end{array}$$

$\text{Hom}(M, -)$ is left-exact, i.e. if

$$\begin{array}{l} 0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \text{ is exact, then so is} \\ 0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M, A) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M, B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M, C). \end{array}$$

$\text{Hom}(-, M)$ is also a functor, but given $A \rightarrow B$,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \rightarrow B & & \text{we get a map } \text{Hom}(B, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(A, M). \\ & \searrow & \\ & & M \end{array}$$

So it "reverses arrows." i.e. it's a contravariant functor.

If $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow D$ is exact, then

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(C, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(B, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(A, M) \text{ is exact.}$$

Tensor products

If M and N are R -modules,

$M \otimes_R N$ is the R -module generated by elts of the form

$$m \otimes n, \text{ w/ } m \in M, n \in N$$

s.t. it satisfies the following relations:

- If $r \in R$, $(rm) \otimes n = r(m \otimes n) = m \otimes (rn)$

- $(m+m') \otimes n = m \otimes n + m' \otimes n$ and
 $m \otimes (n+n') = m \otimes n + m \otimes n'$

Caution: In general, elts look like finite sums $\sum_i m_i \otimes n_i$. It's usually difficult to tell if two given elts are equal. In fact, it's often a question what the minimum number of simple tensors required to express an element is.

Ex:

1.) $R \otimes_R M \cong M \cong M \otimes_R R$ and $M \otimes_R N \cong N \otimes_R M$

2.) $R[x_1, \dots, x_m] \otimes_R R[x_{m+1}, \dots, x_n] \cong R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$

3.) $\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[x] \cong \mathbb{Q}[x]$.

4.) $I, J \subseteq R$ ideals $\Rightarrow R/I \otimes_R R/J \cong R/(I+J)$

5.) If M is an R -module, S an R -algebra, then $S \otimes_R M$ is an S -module: $s(t \otimes m) = st \otimes m$.

Tensor product is associative and distributes over direct sum. i.e.

$$(A \otimes B) \otimes C \cong A \otimes (B \otimes C) \text{ and}$$

$$A \otimes (B \oplus C) \cong (A \otimes B) \oplus (A \otimes C).$$

Universal property

Note that $M \times N \rightarrow M \otimes N$ defined $m \times n \mapsto m \otimes n$ (as a function, not a morphism) is bilinear over R .

In other words, for fixed $n \in N$, $m \mapsto m \otimes n$ is an R -module homomorphism, and the same in the other coordinate.

The universal property of tensor product:

If $f: M \times N \rightarrow P$ is bilinear, \exists a unique homomorphism

$$\bar{f}: M \otimes N \rightarrow P \text{ s.t.}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M \times N & \xrightarrow{\otimes} & M \otimes N \\ & \searrow f & \downarrow \bar{f} \\ & & P \end{array} \text{ commutes.}$$

Remark: Tensor product is a functor:

$$A \longmapsto A \otimes M$$

where $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$ goes to $\varphi \otimes \text{id}: A \otimes M \rightarrow B \otimes M$.

Claim: Tensor product $- \otimes_R M$ is right exact.

i.e. if

$$A \xrightarrow{\alpha} B \xrightarrow{\beta} C \rightarrow 0$$

is exact, then so is

$$A \otimes_R M \xrightarrow{\alpha \otimes \text{id}} B \otimes_R M \xrightarrow{\beta \otimes \text{id}} C \otimes_R M \rightarrow 0.$$

Pf: If $c \otimes m \in C \otimes_R M$, then there is some $b \in B$ such that $\beta(b) = c$. Thus, $b \otimes m \mapsto c \otimes m$, so $\beta \otimes \text{id}$ is surjective.

To prove exactness at $B \otimes_R M$, we first construct a map

$$B \otimes_R M / \text{im}(\alpha \otimes \text{id}) \longrightarrow C \otimes_R M$$

defined: $\overline{b \otimes m} \mapsto \beta(b) \otimes m.$

To show it is well-defined, we need to check that $\overline{0} \mapsto 0$. i.e. if $\sum a \otimes m \in \text{im}(\alpha \otimes \text{id})$, then

$$\sum a \otimes m \mapsto \sum \alpha(a) \otimes m \mapsto \sum \underbrace{\beta(\alpha(a))}_{=0} \otimes m = 0.$$

Thus, it is well-defined, and surjective (from above).

To show it's an isomorphism, construct a bilinear map

$$C \times M \rightarrow B \otimes_R M / \text{im}(\alpha \otimes \text{id})$$

and apply the universal property. (See HW 2 — make sure to show your bilinear map is well-defined.) \square

Right-exactness gives us the following important isomorphism.

Prop: If M is an R -module and $I \subseteq R$ an ideal,

$$\text{Then } R/I \otimes_R M \cong M/IM,$$

where $IM = \{am \mid a \in I, m \in M\} \subseteq M$.

Pf: Consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow I \rightarrow R \rightarrow R/I \rightarrow 0$$

Tensoring by M yields the exact sequence

$$I \otimes M \xrightarrow{\alpha} R \otimes M \xrightarrow{\beta} R/I \otimes M \rightarrow 0$$

Thus $R \otimes M / \text{im } \alpha \cong R/I \otimes M$.

We know $R \otimes M \cong M$, so $\text{im } \alpha = IM$, and we get the desired isomorphism. \square

Ex: $\mathbb{Z}/(n) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \cong \mathbb{Q}/(n) = 0$.

Localization as tensor product.

We can describe the localization of a module by first localizing the ring and then tensoring:

Lemma: The map $U^{-1}R \otimes_R M \rightarrow U^{-1}M$ defined $\frac{r}{u} \otimes m \mapsto \frac{rm}{u}$ is an isomorphism of $(U^{-1}R)$ -modules.

(Note: we can construct this map via the universal prop of tensor.)

Pf: We'll construct an inverse:

$\varphi: U^{-1}M \rightarrow U^{-1}R \otimes_R M$ defined $\frac{m}{u} \mapsto \frac{1}{u} \otimes m$.

Why is this well-defined?

If $\frac{m}{u} = \frac{m'}{u'}$, then $vu'm = vum'$, some $v \in U$.

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow 1 \otimes vu'm &= 1 \otimes vum' \Rightarrow v(u'(1 \otimes m)) = v(u(1 \otimes m')) \\ &\Rightarrow \frac{1}{u} \otimes m = \frac{1}{u'} \otimes m'. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Since we've written localization as a tensor product, we know that it is right-exact. In fact, it also preserves injections, and thus preserves exact sequences! This is called "flatness":

Def: An R -module F is flat if for every injective R -module map $M \rightarrow N$, $F \otimes M \rightarrow F \otimes N$ is injective as well.

Prop: $U^{-1}R$ is flat as an R -module. Thus, localization preserves exact sequences.

Pf: Assume $\varphi: M' \rightarrow M$ is an injection of R -modules.

We want to show $U^{-1}R \otimes_R M' \rightarrow U^{-1}R \otimes_R M$ is injective.
 $\begin{matrix} U^{-1}R \otimes_R M' & \rightarrow & U^{-1}R \otimes_R M \\ \parallel & & \parallel \\ U^{-1}M' & & U^{-1}M \end{matrix}$ is injective.

If $\frac{m'}{u} \mapsto \frac{\varphi(m')}{u} = 0$, then $\forall \varphi(m') = 0$, some $v \in U$
 $\Rightarrow \varphi(vm') = 0 \Rightarrow vm' = 0$
 $\Rightarrow \frac{m'}{u} = 0. \square$

As previously mentioned, there are many properties of modules and rings that we can check by "checking locally". For example, we can check that an element of a module is zero by checking that it's zero in the localization at each max'l ideal:

Lemma: R a ring, M an R -module.

a) If $a \in M$, then $a = 0 \Leftrightarrow \frac{a}{1} = 0$ in M_m for each
 max'l ideal $m \subseteq R$

b) $M = 0 \Leftrightarrow M_m = 0 \quad \forall \text{ max ideal } m \subseteq R.$

Pf: a.) let $a \in M$. The annihilator of a is defined

$$\text{Ann}(a) = \{r \in R \mid ra = 0\}.$$

Note that $\text{Ann}(a) \subseteq R$ is an ideal.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } \frac{a}{1} = 0 \text{ in } M_m &\Leftrightarrow ua = 0 \text{ for some } u \notin m \\ &\Leftrightarrow \text{Ann}(a) \not\subseteq m \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Thus } \frac{a}{1} = 0 \text{ in } M_m \text{ for } \underline{\text{all}} \text{ max'l } m &\Leftrightarrow \text{Ann}(a) = R \\ &\Leftrightarrow a = 0 \text{ in } M. \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{b.) } M = 0 \Leftrightarrow a = 0 \quad \forall a \in M \Leftrightarrow a/1 = 0 \text{ in all } M_m. \quad \square$$

Another thing we can check locally is injectivity and surjectivity:

Cor: If $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$ is a map of R -modules, then φ is injective (resp. surjective) iff $\varphi_m: M_m \rightarrow N_m$ is \forall max'l ideals m .

Pf: (\Rightarrow) follows from flatness.

Conversely, if $\ker(\varphi_m) \cong (\ker \varphi)_m = 0 \quad \forall m$, then $\ker \varphi = 0$. Similarly w/ cokernel. \square